UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/589,369	03/22/2007	Cecile Pasquier	3766	6027
278 MICHAEL J. S	7590 07/28/2009 STRIKER	8	EXAMINER	
103 EAST NEC	CK ROAD		ELHILO, EISA B	
HUNTINGTON	N, IN I 11743		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			1796	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			07/28/2008	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

		App	lication No.	Applicant(s)				
Office Action Summary			89,369	PASQUIER ET	AL.			
			niner	Art Unit				
		Eisa	B. Elhilo	1796				
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this commun or Reply	nication appears o	on the cover sheet	with the correspondence	address			
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS, WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).								
Status								
	Responsive to communication(s) file	ed on 22 March 2	2007					
2a)□	•	2b)⊠ This action						
3)□		<i>'</i> —		atters prosecution as to t	he merite is			
3)[Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.							
Dispositi	·	ioo unadi Ex part	.o Quayro, 1000 C	7.5. 11, 100 0.3. 210.				
· · ·	on of Claims							
•	Claim(s) <u>1-12</u> is/are pending in the a							
	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.							
'=	5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.							
·	Claim(s) <u>1-10 and 12</u> is/are rejected.							
'=	Claim(s) <u>11</u> is/are objected to.							
8)[Claim(s) are subject to restrict	ction and/or elect	ion requirement.					
Applicati	on Papers							
9)	The specification is objected to by th	e Examiner.						
10)	The drawing(s) filed on is/are	: a)∏ accepted	or b)∏ objected	to by the Examiner.				
	Applicant may not request that any obje	ction to the drawin	g(s) be held in abe	yance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).				
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).								
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.								
Priority u	ınder 35 U.S.C. § 119							
 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 								
2) Notic 3) Inform	t(s) e of References Cited (PTO-892) e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (F nation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) r No(s)/Mail Date 8/15/2006.	PTO-948)	Paper N	w Summary (PTO-413) No(s)/Mail Date of Informal Patent Application				

Application/Control Number: 10/589,369 Page 2

Art Unit: 1796

Claims 1-12 are pending in this application

DETAILED ACTION

Double Patenting

1. The nonstatutory double patenting rejection is based on a judicially created doctrine grounded in public policy (a policy reflected in the statute) so as to prevent the unjustified or improper timewise extension of the "right to exclude" granted by a patent and to prevent possible harassment by multiple assignees. A nonstatutory obviousness-type double patenting rejection is appropriate where the conflicting claims are not identical, but at least one examined application claim is not patentably distinct from the reference claim(s) because the examined application claim is either anticipated by, or would have been obvious over, the reference claim(s). See, e.g., *In re Berg*, 140 F.3d 1428, 46 USPQ2d 1226 (Fed. Cir. 1998); *In re Goodman*, 11 F.3d 1046, 29 USPQ2d 2010 (Fed. Cir. 1993); *In re Longi*, 759 F.2d 887, 225 USPQ 645 (Fed. Cir. 1985); *In re Van Ornum*, 686 F.2d 937, 214 USPQ 761 (CCPA 1982); *In re Vogel*, 422 F.2d 438, 164 USPQ 619 (CCPA 1970); and *In re Thorington*, 418 F.2d 528, 163 USPQ 644 (CCPA 1969).

A timely filed terminal disclaimer in compliance with 37 CFR 1.321(c) or 1.321(d) may be used to overcome an actual or provisional rejection based on a nonstatutory double patenting ground provided the conflicting application or patent either is shown to be commonly owned with this application, or claims an invention made as a result of activities undertaken within the scope of a joint research agreement.

Effective January 1, 1994, a registered attorney or agent of record may sign a terminal disclaimer. A terminal disclaimer signed by the assignee must fully comply with 37 CFR 3.73(b).

2. Claims 1-10 and 12 are provisionally rejected on the ground of nonstatutory obviousness-type double patenting as being unpatentable over claims 1-9 and 11-12 of copending Application No. US. 10/587,763. Although the conflicting claims are not identical, they are not patentably distinct from each other because the claims the copending application No. 10/587,763, teach and disclose similar agent for coloring hair comprising thiazolium dye of a formula (I) and wherein the agent also comprises oxidation dyes, direct dyes and oxidants in the claimed pH range as claimed in claims 1-10 and 12 (see claims 1-9 and 11-12 of the copending application No. 10/587,763). Therefore, this is an obvious formulation.

Application/Control Number: 10/589,369 Page 3

Art Unit: 1796

Although, the claims of the copending application No. 10/587,763, teach and disclose agent for dyeing hair comprising similar dyeing ingredients to those claimed, they are not identical to the instant claims, because the claims of the copending application No. 10/587,763, require an oxidant in the coloring agent while the instant claims do not require oxidant in the coloring agent. Therefore, the conflicting claims are not identical.

However, it would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to be motivated to formulate such a composition to arrive at the claimed invention because the claims of the copending application No. 10/587,763, teach and disclose similar agent for coloring hair that comprises similar thiazolium compounds as those claimed, and, thus, a person of the ordinary skill in the art would expect such a composition to have similar properties to those claimed, absent unexpected results.

This is a <u>provisional</u> obviousness-type double patenting rejection because the conflicting claims have not in fact been patented.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

3. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless –

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

Claims 1-5 and 12 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Hohmann et al. (US 4,046,752).

Hohmann et al. (US' 752) teaches a dye compound having a formula identical to the claimed formula (I) as claimed in claims 1-5 and 12 (see col. 15, the lower formula), when in the

claimed formula (I), R1 and R4 are alkyl radicals, R2, R3, R5 and R6 are hydrogen atoms and A is an anion of monomethyl sulfate. Hohmann et al. teaches all the limitations of the instant claims. Hence, Hohmann et al. anticipates the claims.

4 Claims 1-5 and 12 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Neeb et al. (US 4,269,768).

Neeb et al. (US' 768) teaches a dye compound having a formula identical to the claimed formula (I) as claimed in claims 1-5 and 12 (see col. 6, the formula), when in the claimed formula (I), R1 and R4 are alkyl radicals, R2, R3, R5 and R6 are hydrogen atoms and A is the chloride anion. Neeb et al. teaches all the limitations of the instant claims. Hence, Neeb et al. anticipates the claims.

Allowable Subject Matter

Claim 11 objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims. The prior art of record do not teach or disclose the limitations of this claim.

Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Eisa B. Elhilo whose telephone number is (571) 272-1315. The examiner can normally be reached on M - F (8:00 -4:30).

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Pyon Harold can be reached on (571) 272-1498. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Application/Control Number: 10/589,369 Page 5

Art Unit: 1796

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

/Eisa B Elhilo/ Primary Examiner, Art Unit 1796 July 24, 2008